28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Nominal Defendant.

1	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
2	Please take notice that the defendants in this action, Mike Ross, George Perlegos and Gust Perlegos			
3	have waived service of summons. The waivers are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B.			
5				
6	DATED: June 5, 2008	SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP		
7				
8		/s/		
9		Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785) Nichole Browning (Bar No. 251937)		
10		2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, California 94598		
11		Telephone: (925) 945-0770 Fax: (925) 945-8792		
12		-and-		
13		Eric L. Zagar (Bar No. 250519) Tara P. Kao		
14		280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087		
15		Telephone: 610/667-7706 Facsimile: 610/667-7056		
16		Counsel for Plaintiff		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

exhibit A

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER LLP Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785) Nichole Browning (Bar No. 251937) aplutzik@sbtklaw.com nbrowning@sbtklaw.com 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, California 94598 Telephone: (925) 945-0770 Fax: (925) 945-8792 -and- Eric Zagar (Bar. No. 250519) Tara Kao ezagar@sbtklaw.com tkao@sbtklaw.com 280 King of Prussia Rd. Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667.7706 Facsimile: (610) 667.7056			
Attorneys for Plaintiff			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
SAN JOSE DIVISION			
PATRICK McWEENY, derivatively on behalf of	Master File No. CV 08-01031-JF		
ATMEL CORPORATION,			
Plaintiff,	DERIVATIVE ACTION		
· v .	WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS		
GEORGE PERLEGOS, GUST PERLEGOS, T. PETER THOMAS, CHAIHO KIM, PIERRE	Assigned to Judge Jeremy Fogel Courtroom 3, 5th Floor		
FOUGERE, NORMAN T. HALL, TSUNG- CHING WU, KRIS CHELLAM, JACK PECKHAM, DONALD COLVIN, MIKES N.			
SISOIS, B. JEFFREY KATZ, FRANCIS BARTON, GRAHAM TURNER, BERNARD PRUNIAUX, STEVEN SCHUMANN, and MIKE	·		
ROSS,			
Defendants, and			
ATMEL CORPORATION,			
Nominal Defendant.			

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS MASTER FILE NO. CV 08-01031-JF

1

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of a summons on behalf of George Perlegos and Gust Perlegos in the action of Patrick McWeeny v. George Perlegos, et al., which is case number 08-cv-1031 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I have received a copy of the Complaint in the action and this Waiver via electronic mail, and a means by which I can return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.

I agree to save the cost of service of a Summons and an additional copy of the Complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that the entities on whose behalf I am acting be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.

The entities on whose behalf I am acting will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against the party on whose behalf I am acting if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after May 15, 2008, or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.

Dated: May 15,

FARELLA BRAUN + MARTELL LLP

John L. Cooper Robert C. Holtzapple Jessica Koren Nall

Attorneys for George Perlegos and **Gust Perlegos**

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant who, after being notified of an action and asked to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or even its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against the defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons has been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received

12

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

.13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

exhibit B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER LLP Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785) Nichole Browning (Bar No. 251937) 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, California 94598 Telephone: (925) 945-0770 Fax: (925) 945-8792 -and- Eric Zagar (Bar. No. 250519) Tara Kao ezagar@sbtklaw.com tkao@sbtklaw.com 280 King of Prussia Rd. Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667.7706 Facsimile: (610) 667.7056		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
12 13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14 15	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
16			
17	PATRICK McWEENY, derivatively on behalf of ATMEL CORPORATION,	Master File No. CV 08-01031-JF	
is	Plaintiff,	DERIVATIVE ACTION	
19	v.	WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	
20	GEORGE PERLEGOS, GUST PERLEGOS,	Assigned to Judge Jeremy Fogel	
21	T. PETER THOMAS, CHAIHO KIM, PIERRE FOUGERE, NORMAN T. HALL, TSUNG-	Courtroom 3, 5th Floor	
22	CHING WU, KRIS CHELLAM, JACK PECKHAM, DONALD COLVIN, MIKES N. SISOIS, B. JEFFREY KATZ, FRANCIS		
23 24	BARTON, GRAHAM TURNER, BERNARD PRUNIAUX, STEVEN SCHUMANN, and MIKE ROSS,		
25	Defendants, and		
26	ATMEL CORPORATION,		
27	Nominal Defendant.		
28			

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS MASTER FILE NO. CV 08-01031-JF

I acknowledge receipt of your request that I waive service of a summons on behalf of Mike			
Ross in the action of Patrick McWeeny v. George Perlegos, et al., No. 08-cv-1031, filed with the			
United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I have received a copy of the			
Complaint in the action, two copies of this Waiver, and a means by which I can return the signed			
waiver to you without cost to me.			
I agree to save the cost of service of a Summons and an additional copy of the Complaint			
in this lawsuit by not requiring that the entities on whose behalf I am acting be served with judicial			
process in the manner provided by Rule 4.			
The entities on whose hehalf I am acting will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit			

The entities on whose behalf I am acting will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

I understand that a judgment may be entered against the party on whose behalf I am acting if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after May 2, 2008, or within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.

15 16

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Dated: May 2, 2008

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Attorney for Mike Ross

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant who, after being notified of an action and asked to waive service of a summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or even its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the

summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought. A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against the defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons has been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received